

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,166	05/05/2005	Carlos Daniel Silva	101727-2(4)	9954
27387 7	590 12/05/2006	EXAMINER		
NORRIS, MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, P.A. 875 THIRD AVE			NASSER, ROBERT L	
18TH FLOOR	V L		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NY 10022		3735	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	`
(10
V	r
D	,

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/534,166	SILVA, CARLOS DANIEL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Robert L. Nasser	3735			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sply received by the Office later than three months after the nature of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	3 September 2006.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
,	, -					
	closed in accordance with the practice und					
Dispositio	on of Claims					
4) 🛛 (Claim(s) <u>10-16</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🔲 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>10-16</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.				
Applicatio	on Papers					
9)[] T	he specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
F	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing	y(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) 🗌 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for ford All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
,	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.				
2	2. Certified copies of the priority docun	nents have been received in A	Application No			
3	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have beer	received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) D Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	· /	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3735

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in the specification for when there is any failure in the overall device, the battery actuates the alarm. Since this limitation was added via amendment, it constitutes new matter. Clarification is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feld et al 6472988 in view of Varis 6254551 and Lehrman et al 5513646. Feld et al shows a box 21 that is free of attachment means including a circuit including a motion detector 44, connected to a micro controller 30, where the controller has a plurality of outputs connected to alarms 5 and 10. It does not state that the motion sensor is an accelerometer. However, Varis teaches that an accelerometer is a known motion sensor. Hence, it would have been obvious to modify Feld to use an accelerometer as a motion sensor, as it is merely the substitution of one known equivalent sensor for

Art Unit: 3735

another. In addition, the examiner notes that Lehrman teaches providing a backup battery for supplying power when there is a power failure (see column 5, lines 10-15). The examiner notes that since it supplies power to the alarm, it "activates" the alarm, noting that an alarm need not be sounding to be activated. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected in that there is also a transmission means 60 in Feld, which transmits the signals to a remote computer shown in figure 4. Claims 13 and 15 are rejected in that applicant has not stated that the exact form of transmission module solves a stated problem or is for a particular purpose. As such, the exact form of the circuit would have been a mere matter of design choice for one skilled in the art. Claims 14 is rejected in that the examiner takes official notice that rf and infrared transmission means are known to be interchangeable. Hence, it would have been obvious to modify the combination to use an IR transmitter, as it is merely the substitution of one known equivalent transmission means for another. Claim 16 is rejected in that the alarm is connected to the microcontroller. The examiner takes official notice that it is known to provide a transistor to feed the alarm.

Applicant's arguments filed 9/13/2006 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3735

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert L. Nasser whose telephone number is 571 272-4731. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor II can be reached on 571 272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3735

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert L. Nasser **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3735

RLN November 21, 2006

> ROBERT L. NASSER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Polit & Mason